Approved For Release 2000/05/24

The Pueblo; OWhodunit?

CPYRGHT

CPYRGHT

It he very week the nation was puzzling over the rights and wrong of Lloyd Bucher's conduct as commander of the captured spy ship Pueblo, Allen W. Dulles died. The coincidence is to the dramatist's taste, for Mr. Dulles was Mr. Intelligence, and as the Bucher hear ings proceed, we glimpse the shadowy outline of how the govern-

ment operates its intelligence services in peacetime.

After the Second World War, the intelligence profession in thi country was in the doldrums. The Communist bloc under the iron hand of Stalin was both monolithic and menacing. The times called for the gathering by our government of information not obtainable by normal, open methods, and the doing of "dirty tricks" that could not be laid directly to Washington's door. With the passage of th National Security Act of 1947, the Central Intelligence Agency cam into being and was placed under the National Security Council. I its earliest years, the Agency made little headway. But with Presi dent Truman's appointment of a select committee to study the entir intelligence community and its structure, the cloak-and-dagger busi ness came into its own. Without detracting from contributions of the other members of the committee, it can be said that the repor if produced was Allen Dulles', and he devoted the rest of his life making the most of it. He was first appointed Deputy Director of CIA, then Director by Mr. Eisenhower. His concurrent and larg responsibility was Director, Central Intelligence, in charge of the whole US intelligence effort, not just CIA. Not until John and Rob ert Kennedy, were two brothers, Allen and John Foster Dulles, hold so much power at the same time.

Those who framed the national intelligence directives arising from the National Security Act had, with great care, explicitly stated tha the Director, Central Intelligence was responsible for and was at thorized to acquire "clandestine" or secret intelligence, but not t perform secret operations. At that time, the late forties, the govern ment did not contemplate the staging of such operations, except i rare instances for which there would be specific approval. It was thi limitation that Allen Dulles attacked vehemently, and although ther was opposition to his views at the White House and in both th State and Defense Departments, he prevailed. The Director, Central Intelligence was subsequently authorized to put his plans for secre

operations to a special group of the National Security Council, and with their approval to execute them. With responsibility for both the covert collection of information and secret operations, Director Dulles had what he wanted, very wide discretionary power not only to ferret out other people's "secrets," but to set in motion and to supervise all varieties of clandestine interventions into the affairs of other nations, to make and break governments. (The Bay of Pigs was the most painful illustration of how that power could be misused, how plans could be made on the basis of "inhide"Approved For Release 2000/05/24: GIA-RDP75-00001R000100040076-0

Yet even at the moment of Dulles Victory, President Eisenhower and others added certain safeguards designed to deter a runaway CIA. The Agency was not to be granted funds sufficient to support such operations as the Puelilo or the Bay of Pigs, nor the manpower to carry them out. CIA was not to have for itself, on its own, the manpower, material and funds for "Secret Operations" which duplicated resources in other agencies. The authors of these restrictions felt they had set up adequate protection against Parkinsonian proliferation. They badly underestimated Allen Dulles and his disciples. By the end of the Eisenhower regime, the CIA was drawing manpower from nearly all government sources; it was getting material in abundance, primarily from Defense; it had its hand in the pocket of most other departmental budgets. Pure intelligence (the gathering of information) was but a tip of the iceberg. As much as 90 percent of the Agency's strength outside the US was in "Secret Operations," with the Department of Defense as chief supplier.

I he role of the Director, Central Intelligence, it should be noted, is distinct from the CIA. The DCI has overall responsibility for intelligence, whether CIA, the military, the State Department or others. In a very special sense then, it follows that the Director, Central Intelligence may retain this authority when he has been authorized to mount a secret operation, such as the Pueblo. This fine distinction is raised by the statement of the present DCI and CIA chief, Riche ard Helms. "Neither this Agency [CIA] nor I personally," he said, "have had anything to do with the mission of the USS Pueblo, the ship itself or any of its crew." Mr. Helms carefully skirted the issue of whether the Director, Central Intelligence "had anything to do" with the mission. If he did not, why not and who did? Either the Navy was operating on its own authority a clandestine mission in peacetime (contrary to national policy), or it was not. If it was not and if the CIA was not, then Mr. Helms would have us look elsewhere. Could this mission have been under the operational control of the National Security Agency? But a decision to have placed such an opera tion under the super-secret NSA would most certainly have involved the White House, State and Defense and the DCI.

One begins to understand why the Navy Admirals are having an embarrassing time over the Pueblo. The courage and judgment of Commander Bucher, the effi ciency of Navy procedures are irrelevant. The Puebl very probably was not sailing under the operational control of the Navy at the time of its capture. Once on the high seas, it came under the jurisdiction of another agency. At that point, the code of James Bond not gallant old Navy, took over. That is the significance of Commander Bucher's comment, that "thes people were not working for me." "These people

Configured